After reading that a female who was shot by George Sodini repeatedly exclaimed "Get me out of here, he's going to kill me." after having been shot. I thought that it was absurd that she did not realize it was an attack against a group in the aggregate rather than someone having a personal vendetta against each person (Although she was specifically only thinking of herself and not of others at the time). It made me recall how I read in a testimony against Kip Kinkel that another female sought a natural life sentence for him because she had to "[deal] with my own fear that you will one day try to hurt me again" even though he confessed literally hours after the incident that he did not target any specific people and had no idea who any of them were (http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JyaMiL7XeiY 7:11 - 7:26). I could not understand why females thought this way. I now believe I understand it, though. When people are exposed to stressful situations, they shed articial civility and reveal their subconscious mindset to a considerable degree. While males would say, "There is someone shooting people" demonstrating their selflessness, females would say, "Someone is shooting -me-.", demonstrating their selfishness. speculate that it is because females have a predilection for self-ish thoughts. I use a hyphen so that no one assumes I imply the negative connotations "selfish" has developed; what I mean is that females think in a manner which pertains to themselves. I mean this every time that I use the words selfish and selfishness. The selfishness of females can explain literally every major difference between the conscious thoughts and behavior of them and males.

It also explains why females are, as I have observed, more likely to be offended by a general statement or by any criticism: Such as, if I were to debunk a political ideology to which a female subscribed, they would interpret it as a personal attack against them because they had accepted that philosophy as being true. Because they also accept the legitimacy of theirself, they anthropomorphize their thoughts as actually being theirself in a convuluted sense. Another example of females stereotypically not accepting criticism is how people advise "Your wife is always right", because a female will be more likely to think that the unwitting husband was trying to "hurt" when he criticized her idea even if he legitimately pointed out how she was wrong in something. A female in this situation would be unlikely to admit her error because she subconsciously would think of it as denying the legitimacy of herself. In the same vein, I understand why females are more likely to be offended by humor which, independent of how simple or complex, involves some degree of violence. An example is the ubiquitous Dead Baby Joke "Stop crawling in circles or I'll nail your other foot to the floor". A male would be more likely to enjoy this because they are amused by the absurdity of the situation, but a female would often literally exclaim "Ew" because they subconsciously actually visualize a scene of a hungry infant with a bloody impaled foot helplessly trying to free itself. They subsequently personalize the circumstance, thinking, "What if that was me? He is amused by this. He must want to do that to me.", which explains why a female would not only not find it amusing to any degree but would also be angry at the person who said it.

It also explains why there are, excluding very few exceptions such as Brenda Spencer & Laurie Dann, virtually never female spree killers compared to the amount of males that there have been. Spree shooters often are motivated by a hatred of humans in the aggregate (For various reasons, but that is entirely irrelevant) and sometimes also act in an attempt to ameliorate some lackluster philosophy which they have established in their minds, such as the two ubiquitous morons Eric Harris and Dylan Klebold. A female would not be likely to have a legitimate and consummate hatred of humans in general because they would not be capable of detaching themselves from that collection while a male would likely have no compunction with it. A female would also not be willing to sacrifice something, especially their life, for what they believe to be righteous because they view nothing else mattering above themselves and especially the comfort they would be losing; they would see themselves as being dead, and if they are dead, they believe their ideology would not matter. Females' aversion to sacrifice in the pursuit of what they believe to be righteousness (And it is possible females are inherently less likely to form hardline philosophies because they would ultimately not be willing to make sacrifices in the pursuit of it) can be illustrated by this perfunctory example which used to happen to me when I was younger: I would get into an argument with a female who would in some way be disemminating false information about me. I would then prove them wrong in some way which would to a great extent damage the situation, whether trivial or not, and they would always say "You showed me." sarcastically, implying that I was wrong in valuing the truth above the status quo. Another (More coherent) example is the lack of females aspiring to be involved in politics. Females are less likely to desire doing something stressful which does not in any way have a direct effect on themselves, their family, their friends, et alia, even if it does ideologically. Its pertinence to themselves is actually all that matters, though, because to them, their family and friends are an extension of themselves.

Females are more likely to be charitable than males are because of their selfishness. They are unable to detach themselves from a situation: They subconsciously think "What if -I- was hungry? I might die. I do not want to die". A person starving to death would likely be willing to do anything to acquire food so that they survive, and a female is perpetually in this mindset while helping other people because of their subconscious affinity for selfishness.

People say that females are oriented towards emotion and males toward objectivity. I do not accept this aphorism at face value. A female appears more emotional than a male because of their desire to express themselves, owing to their selfishness. They want other people to know how they feel so that they can have their feelings accepted, thus legitimizing their feelings and subsequently thinking that that they themselves have been legitimized. Males experience this too, but rather than having more objectivity (Greater selflessness) as though it is a trait in itself, I believe they merely have a smaller degree of affinity for selfishness, and thus display less emotion because they gain nothing from doing so.

This is also why females are very unlikely to say that a male having a girlfriend is pointless. I was discursively searching Google for the fatuity in having a girlfriend when I found a response by a female against someone who asserted that girlfriends are a waste of money (Monetary cost is not why I will never desire to have a girlfriend; do not castigate me over it). Summarized, she said that girlfriends in general are not a waste of money because -she- personally pays for everything herself. Also, that they are "great companions" because she said that -she- herself never "disrespects" her boyfriend. She then said that perhaps females in general might be expensive (While earlier saying that they are not), but she personally is not. She did what I observe happening incessantly: She conflated herself with a group of people when clearly it was inappropriate to. It would have been correct for her to say from the beginning that most females are expensive to have as girlfriends, but she personally was not. She was unlikely to do so, however, because she subconsciously had to see herself as other females, unable to detach herself from them. This partially explains why females in general are collectivists and are fundamentally opposed to rational political ideologies such as libertarianism and anarcho-capitalism without reason, but I am not going to get into that because this will already be too eclectic of a post. I fortuitously observed the same phenomenon in my mother just yesterday, which serves as a definitive example. She asked me what I would think about moving back to New Hampshire, where I originally lived until I was six years old. I asked her why she was asking this and if she was considering it, because it had no relevance to what we were just talking about, so it could not be that she just wanted to know my implication over whether or not I liked New Hampshire as a state in itself as opposed to other states. She said that she was not considering it, and after I kept insinuating that she had to have been, she said that she was thinking about it. I asked why she did not originally merely say that, and she said because she was not seriously -intending- on doing it, as though it was already decided. That is not what I asked, though. While I thought of "consider" as its reasonable unspoken definition, her selfishness led her to

Females also feel the desire to have attention more than males because of their selfishness. Females' fundamental desire to express their feelings is a truism on which does not need to be expounded. It exists in anything ranging from their propensity to verbally say things such as "Aww" and "Eew" in comparison to the frequency males use them, to creating art (The acceptance of which and cultural subordination being another topic. I will not deal with that here.) They are more likely to act emotionally than males are because they want their feelings to be legitimized by other people, which they subconsciously believe would subsequently legitimize themselves because they are conflating the two owing to their selfishness.

visualize the concept of my question as being literal- of her actually moving- the idea of which she did not presently intend on doing, so she said that she was not considering it. I observe this incessantly in females, although not usually as explicit. My point is that female selfishness is so fundamental that it

guides their speech and behavior to the point of logical fallacies.

This is also why females stereotypically have many friends with whom they horde around.

Here is an example of females being offended by a general statement: Whenever I am criticizing a group of people -in the aggregate- on a forum who have a monolithic opinion and say "You people" in addressing them, if anyone ever addresses those two words specifically, it is always a female who acts offended and says something to the degree of "What do you mean 'You people'?". This is because they cannot view themselves separately from a group of which they are a part because of their selfishness. Even if they are a part of "the people", they still will act offended because I critizied them- whether I am correct in my criticism or not is irrelevant to them-, and subconsciously invoke their selfishness in their response saying that abhorrent adage while thinking they have disproved whatever I said.

What I mean is this: Female behavior and speech is guided by their predilection for self-ishness to a degree which males do not have. Even though males may express their emotions owing to selfishness just as much as females (Although often less so), their emotions do not have the pervasive effect on their behavior that they have on females.

I know literally nothing about psychology and have no intention of ever having anything to do with it nor psychiatry, so I don't know if any information relevant to this exists.

you guys have shitty girlfriends. i always pay for myself and ive been doing so with my bf for the last five years. he only pays if he wants to, i never ask him to.

its not pointless to have a gf, if they are good ones, we can be great companions, i never flake out on him or treat him disrespectfully.

...although not many gfs, especially at the high school level, are cheap to have. I think that girls like me are deffinatley worth it. If she is making you pay all the time then obviously she isnt great to have around. I think im a worthy lifelong investment though! Id make a great wife.